arXiv:hep-lat/9909035v3 18 Apr 2003

UT-Komaba 99-14

Gross-Neveu model with overlap fermions

Ikuo Ichinose[1]and Keiichi Nagao[2]

Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo, 153-8902 Japan

Abstract

We investigate the chiral properties of overlap lattice fermion by using two dimensional Gross–Neveu model coupled with a gauge field. Chiral properties of this model are similar to those of QCD_4, that is, the chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken in the presence of small but finite fermion mass and also there appears the chiral anomaly because of the coupling with the gauge field. In order to respect Lüscher's extended chiral symmetry we insert overlap Dirac operator even in the interaction terms so that the whole action including them are invariant under the extended chiral transformation at *finite lattice spacing*, though the interaction terms become nonlocal. We calculate mass of the quasi-Nambu–Goldstone boson as a function of the bare fermion mass and two parameters in the overlap formalism, and find that the quasi-Nambu–Goldstone boson has desired properties as a result of the extended chiral symmetry. We furthermore examine the PCAC relation and find that it is satisfied at *finite lattice spacing*. Relationship between the anomaly term in the PCAC relation and the U(1) problem is also discussed.

---

[1] e-mail address: ikuo@hep1.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp

[2] e-mail address: nagao@hep1.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Lattice fermion formulation is one of the most important problem in the lattice field theory. Recently a very promising formalism was proposed, the overlap fermion formalism[1], and after that there appeared a lot of works on that. Importance of the Ginsparg and Wilson (GW) relation[2] was stressed there.

In this paper we shall study or test the overlap fermion by using the Gross-Neveu model in two dimensions. This is a solvable model which has chiral properties similar to those of QCD$_4$, i.e., chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken with a small but finite bare fermion mass and pion appears as quasi-Nambu-Goldstone boson[1]. Furthermore in both models the U(1) problem is solved by the chiral anomaly which comes from the coupling with the gauge field.

In order to respect Lüscher's extended chiral symmetry we insert the overlap Dirac operator in the interaction terms so that the whole action including them are invariant under the extended chiral transformation at *finite lattice spacing*, though the interaction terms becomes nonlocal[7].[2][3][4] As a result, only the fermion bare mass breaks the extended chiral symmetry (and the measure of the path-integral of fermions).

The model is given by the following action on the lattice with lattice spacing a,

$$\begin{aligned} S &= N\sum_{pl}[1-\frac{1}{2}(U_P+U_P^\dagger)] + a^2\sum_{n,m}\bar{\psi}(m)D(m,n)\psi(n) - a^2M_B\sum_n\bar{\psi}\psi(n) \\ &\quad -\frac{a^2}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_n\Big[\phi^i(n)\bar{\psi}(n)\tau^i\Big(\delta_{nm}-\frac{a}{2}D(n,m)\Big)\psi(m) \\ &\quad +\phi_5^i(n)\bar{\psi}(n)\tau^i\gamma_5\Big(\delta_{nm}-\frac{a}{2}D(n,m)\Big)\psi(m)\Big] \end{aligned}$$

---

[1] Overlap fermion with a finite fermion mass was recently studied in Refs.[3],[4],[5]. For study of the domain wall fermion in four-Fermi models, see Ref.[6].

[2] Similar modification is discussed in Refs.[8],[9].

[3] We studied in Ref.[5] the chiral properties of overlap fermions by using the same model, where the interaction terms are invariant under the *ordinary* chiral transformation.

[4] In the leading order of the $1/N$-expansion, the nonlocality is expected harmless because of the exponential decay of the large-distance terms[10].

$$+\frac{a^2}{2g_v}\sum_n \Big[\phi^i(n)\phi^i(n)+\phi_5^i(n)\phi_5^i(n)\Big], \tag{1}$$

where U_P is the plaquette variable of $U_\mu(n)$, U(1) gauge field defined on links, ψ_α^l $(\alpha = 1,...,N, l = 1,...,L)$ are fermion fields with flavour index l, and the matrix τ^i $(i = 0,...,L^2-1)$ acting on the flavour index is normalized as

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\tau^i\tau^k)=\delta_{ik} \tag{2}$$

and

$$\tau^0=\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}},\ \ \{\tau^i,\tau^j\}=d^{ijk}\tau^k. \tag{3}$$

The covariant derivative of the overlap fermion $D(n,m)$ which satisfies the GW relation

$$D\gamma_5+\gamma_5 D=aD\gamma_5 D. \tag{4}$$

is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \frac{1}{a}\Big(1+X\frac{1}{\sqrt{X^\dagger X}}\Big), \\ X_{nm} &= \gamma_\mu C_\mu(n,m)+B(n,m), \\ C_\mu(m,n) &= \frac{1}{2a}\Big[\delta_{m+\mu,n}U_\mu(m)-\delta_{m,n+\mu}U_\mu^\dagger(n)\Big], \\ B(m,n) &= -\frac{M_0}{a}+\frac{r}{2a}\sum_\mu\Big[2\delta_{n,m}-\delta_{m+\mu,n}U_\mu(m)-\delta_{m,n+\mu}U_\mu^\dagger(n)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where r and M_0 are dimensionless nonvanishing free parameters of the overlap lattice fermion formalism[1, 11]. For the vanishing bare fermion mass $M_B = 0$, the action (1) is invariant under the following extended chiral transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi(n)\to\psi(n)+\tau^k\theta^k\gamma_5\Big\{\delta_{nm}-aD(n,m)\Big\}\psi(m), \\ &\bar\psi(n)\to\bar\psi(n)+\bar\psi(n)\tau^k\theta^k\gamma_5, \\ &\phi^i(n)\to\phi^i(n)+d^{ikj}\theta^k\phi_5^j(n), \\ &\phi_5^i(n)\to\phi_5^i(n)-d^{ikj}\theta^k\phi^j(n), \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where θ^i is an infinitesimal transformation parameter.

From the action (1), ϕ^i and ϕ_5^i are (nonlocal) composite fields of the fermions,

$$\phi^i = \frac{g_v}{\sqrt{N}}\bar{\psi}\tau^i\Big(1-\frac{a}{2}D\Big)\psi, \;\; \phi_5^i = \frac{g_v}{\sqrt{N}}\bar{\psi}\tau^i\gamma_5\Big(1-\frac{a}{2}D\Big)\psi. \tag{7}$$

As in the continuum model, we expect that the field ϕ^0 acquires a nonvanishing vacuum expectation value (VEV)

$$\langle\phi^0\rangle = \sqrt{NL}M_s, \tag{8}$$

and we define subtracted fields,

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi^0 = \phi^0 - \sqrt{NL}M_s, \\ &\varphi^i = \phi^i \;\; (i \neq 0), \;\; \varphi_5^i = \phi_5^i. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

In Ref.[3], it is argued that the nonlocal composite field ϕ^0 works as an order parameter for the extended chiral symmetry. Then the action is rewritten as,

$$\begin{aligned} S \;=\; & N\sum_{pl}[1-\frac{1}{2}(U_P+U_P^\dagger)] + a^2\sum_{n,m}\bar{\psi}(m)D'_M(m,n)\psi(n) \\ & -\frac{a^2}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_n \Big[\varphi^i(n)\bar{\psi}(n)\tau^i\Big(\delta_{nm}-\frac{a}{2}D(n,m)\Big)\psi(m) \\ & +\varphi_5^i(n)\bar{\psi}(n)\tau^i\gamma_5\Big(\delta_{nm}-\frac{a}{2}D(n,m)\Big)\psi(m)\Big] \\ & +\frac{a^2}{2g_v}\sum_n \Big[\varphi^i(n)\varphi^i(n) + 2\sqrt{NL}M_s\varphi^0(n) + \varphi_5^i(n)\varphi_5^i(n)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &D'_M(n,m) = D'(n,m) - M\delta_{nm}, \\ &D'(n,m) = cD(n,m), \\ &M = M_B + M_s, \quad c = 1+\frac{M_s a}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

From the extended chiral symmetry (6) and its spontaneous breaking (8), we can expect that quasi-Nambu–Goldstone bosons appear. They are nothing but ϕ_5^i. However there is a subtle problem for the Goldstone theorem because of the nonlocality of the action. But as we explaned above, this nonolcality of the action is expected to be harmless in the leading order of the $1/N$-expansion. We shall explicitly examine this point.

The VEV M_s is determined by the tadpole cancellation condition of ϕ^0. In order to perform an explicit calculation of the $1/N$-expansion, it is useful to employ the momentum representation and also we introduce the gauge potential $\lambda_\mu(n)$ in the usual way, i.e., $U(n,\mu) = \exp(\frac{ia}{\sqrt{N}}\lambda_\mu(n))$. By using weak-coupling expansion by Kikukawa and Yamada[12],

$$\begin{aligned} D_{nm} &= \int_p \int_q e^{-ia(qn-pm)} D(p,q), && (12) \\ D(p,q) &= D_0(p)(2\pi)^2 \delta(p-q) + \frac{1}{a} V(p,q), && (13) \end{aligned}$$

where $\int_p = \int_{-\pi/a}^{\pi/a} \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D_0(p) &= \frac{b(p)+\omega(p)}{a\omega(p)} + \frac{\gamma_\mu i \sin ap_\mu}{a^2\omega(p)}, && (14) \\ V(p,q) &= \Big\{\frac{1}{\omega(p)+\omega(q)}\Big\}\Big[X_1(p,q) - \frac{X_0(p)}{\omega(p)} X_1^\dagger(p,q) \frac{X_0(q)}{\omega(q)}\Big] + ... && (15) \end{aligned}$$

$$X_0(p) = \frac{i}{a}\gamma_\mu \sin ap_\mu + \frac{r}{a}\sum_\mu (1-\cos ap_\mu) - \frac{1}{a}M_0, \qquad (16)$$

$$X_1(q,p) = \int_k (2\pi)^4 \delta(q-p-k)\, \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \lambda_\mu(k)\, V_{1\mu}\left(p+\frac{k}{2}\right), \qquad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a\omega(p) &= \sqrt{\sin^2(ap_\mu) + \Big(r\sum_\mu (1-\cos(ap_\mu)) - M_0\Big)^2}, \\ ab(p) &= r\sum_\mu (1-\cos(ap_\mu)) - M_0. && (18) \end{aligned}$$

The vertex function is explicitly given as

$$\begin{aligned} V_{1\mu}\left(p+\frac{k}{2}\right) &= i\gamma_\mu \cos a\left(p_\mu + \frac{k_\mu}{2}\right) + r\sin a\left(p_\mu + \frac{k_\mu}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\mu} X_0\left(p+\frac{k}{2}\right). && (19) \end{aligned}$$

From (14), the tree level propagator is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} D'^{-1}_{M(0)} &= \frac{a\{cb(p)+(c-Ma)\omega(p)\}-ic\gamma_\mu\sin(ap_\mu)}{\omega(p)\{c^2+(c-Ma)^2\}+2cb(p)(c-Ma)} \\ &\equiv \frac{A^c_\mu(p)\gamma_\mu+B^c(p)}{J^c(p)}, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a\omega(p) &= \sqrt{\sin^2(ap_\mu)+\Big(r\sum_\mu(1-\cos(ap_\mu))-M_0\Big)^2}, \\ ab(p) &= r\sum_\mu(1-\cos(ap_\mu))-M_0. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

From (10) and (20), the VEV is determined as follows by the tadpole cancellation condition,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{M_s}{g_v} &= -\int_k \mathrm{Tr}\Big[D'^{-1}_{M(0)}(1-\frac{a}{2}D_0(k))\Big] \\ &= \int_k \frac{Ma^2(\omega(k)-b(k))}{J^c(k)}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Effective action of φ^i, φ_5^i and the gauge field $\lambda_\mu(n)$ is obtained by integrating over the fermions,

$$e^{-S_{eff}}=\int[D\bar{\psi}D\psi]e^{-S}. \tag{23}$$

For the quasi-Nambu-Goldstone boson φ_5,

$$S^{(2)}_{eff}[\varphi_5]=\int_p\frac{1}{2}\varphi_5^i(-p)\Gamma^5_{ij}(p)\varphi_5^j(p) \tag{24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^5_{ij}(p) &= \delta_{ij}\Big\{\frac{1}{g_v}+\int_k\mathrm{Tr}\Big[\gamma_5\Big\{1-\frac{a}{2}D_0(k-p)\Big\}\langle\psi(k-p)\bar{\psi}(k-p)\rangle\gamma_5 \\ &\qquad\times\Big\{1-\frac{a}{2}D_0(k)\Big\}\langle\psi(k)\bar{\psi}(k)\rangle\Big]\Big\} \\ &= \delta_{ij}[\epsilon+2M_0^2\hat{A}(p;M)], \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$\hat{A}(p=0)=0$ and

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{g_v}+\int_k\mathrm{Tr}\Big[\gamma_5\Big\{1-\frac{a}{2}D_0(k)\Big\}\langle\psi(k)\bar{\psi}(k)\rangle\gamma_5$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\times\Big\{1-\frac{a}{2}D_0(k)\Big\}\langle\psi(k)\bar{\psi}(k)\rangle\Big] \\
= &\ \frac{M_B a^2}{M_s}\int_k \frac{\omega(k)-b(k)}{J^c(k)} \\
= &\ -\frac{M_B}{MM_s}\int_k \mathrm{Tr}\Big[D'^{-1}_{M(0)}(1-\frac{a}{2}D_0(k))\Big]. \qquad (26)
\end{aligned}
$$

M_s in Eq.(22) represents the order parameter of the extended chiral symmetry at *finite lattice spacing* as suggested by Chandrasekharan[3].

The momentum dependent term in (25) has a rather complicated form at finite lattice spacing but in the continuum limit it coincides with the previous result,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{A}(p;M) &\rightarrow \frac{p^2}{4\pi\sqrt{p^2(p^2+\mu^2)}}\ln\frac{p^2+2\mu^2+\sqrt{(p^2+2\mu^2)^2-4\mu^4}}{p^2+2\mu^2-\sqrt{(p^2+2\mu^2)^2-4\mu^4}} \\
&\rightarrow \frac{p^2}{4\pi\mu^2}+O((p^2)^2). \qquad (27)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu = M_0 M$. In a similar way, the mixing term of the gauge field and the flavour-singlet "pion" φ_5^0 is given in the continuum limit as

$$
S^{(2)}_{eff}[\lambda_\mu,\varphi_5^0] = -2\sqrt{L}M_0^2 M\int_p \sum \lambda_\mu(-p)\frac{\epsilon_{\mu\nu}p_\nu}{p^2}\hat{A}(p;M)\varphi_5^0(p). \qquad (28)
$$

This mixing term is related with the chiral anomaly and it coincides with the standard form only in the continuum limit. This indicates that the chiral anomaly which appears from the measure of the fermion path integral coincides with its standard form only in the continuum limt.[5]

We shall calculate the masses of the fields φ_5^i by integrating out the gauge field,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int[DU]\exp\Big[-S_G[U]-S_{eff}[\lambda_\mu,\varphi_5]-S_{eff}[\varphi_5]\Big] \\
= &\int\prod d\lambda_1(p)\exp\Big[-\int_p\frac{1}{2}p_2^2\lambda_1(p)\lambda_1(-p)+2\sqrt{L}M_0^2M\int_p\sum\lambda_\mu(-p)\frac{\epsilon_{\mu\nu}p_\nu}{p^2}\hat{A}(p;M)\varphi_5^0(p) \\
&-\int_p\frac{1}{2}\varphi_5^i(-p)\Gamma^5_{ij}(p)\varphi_5^j(p)\Big]
\end{aligned}
$$

---

[5] However there is some argument claiming that the chiral anomaly of the standard form appears even at the finite lattice spacing[15] (see also later discussion on the PCAC relation).

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \int\prod d\lambda_1(p)\exp\Big[-\int_p\frac{1}{2}p_2^2\{(\lambda_1(p)-\frac{\sqrt{L}}{2\pi Mp_2}\varphi_5^0(p))(\lambda_1(-p)+\frac{\sqrt{L}}{2\pi Mp_2}\varphi_5^0(-p)) \\
& +\frac{L}{(2\pi M)^2p_2^2}\varphi_5^0(p)\varphi_5^0(-p)+\frac{1}{p_2^2}\varphi_5^0(-p)\Gamma^5(p)\varphi_5^0(p)\}\Big] \\
& \times\exp\Big[-\int_p\frac{1}{2}\varphi_5^i(-p)\Gamma_{ij}^5(p)\varphi_5^j(p)\Big] \\
\propto & \exp\Big[-\frac{1}{2}\int_p\varphi_5^i(p)\times\frac{2\pi p^2+(2\pi M)^2\epsilon+L\delta^{i0}}{(2\pi M)^2}\times\varphi_5^i(-p)\Big] \qquad (29)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we took axial gauge fixing($\lambda_2=0$). Therefore we get the mass of eta φ_5^0 and pions $\varphi_5^i(i\neq 0)$ as follows

$$m_\eta^2=m_\pi^2+\frac{L}{2\pi}, \qquad (30)$$

$$m_\pi^2=2\pi M^2\epsilon. \qquad (31)$$

It is obvious that the mass of the quasi-NG bosons, the flavour-non-singlet pions $\varphi_5^i(i\neq 0)$, is proportional to the bare fermion mass M_B and vanishes as $M_B\to 0$[13]. This is the expected result. In the leading order of the $1/N$-expansion, the gauge variables in the derivative $D(m,n)$ are set as $U_\mu(n)=1$. Then from Ref.[10], $D(n,m)$ decays exponentially as $|n-m|^2$ tends to large.[6] On the other hand the flavour-singlet meson φ_5^0 has the finite mass $\sqrt{\frac{L}{2\pi}}$ even in the limit $M_B\to 0$. This means that the U(1) problem is solved by the existence of the mixing term (28). Relationship between the mixing term and the chiral anomaly will be discussed after study of the PCAC relation.

Let us turn to the Ward-Takahashi (WT) identity for the axial-vector current; the PCAC relation. We perform the following change of variables in the functional integral of the partition function,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\psi(n)\to\psi(n)+\tau^k\theta^k(n)\gamma_5\Big\{\delta_{nm}-aD(n,m)\Big\}\psi(m),\\
&\bar\psi(n)\to\bar\psi(n)\left\{1+\tau^k\theta^k(n)\gamma_5\right\},\\
&\phi^i(n)\to\phi^i(n)+d^{ikj}\theta^k(n)\phi_5^j(n),\\
&\phi_5^i(n)\to\phi_5^i(n)-d^{ikj}\theta^k(n)\phi^j(n), \qquad (32)
\end{aligned}
$$

---

[6] The Goldstone theorem assumes the locality of the current. For the overlap fermion, see Ref.[14].

and we obtain the following WT identity,

$$\langle \nabla_\mu J^k_{5,\mu}(n) - \frac{2\sqrt{N}}{g_v} M_B \varphi^k_5(n) - \delta^{k0} N\sqrt{L} a \mathrm{Tr}\Big[\gamma_5 D(n,n)\Big]\rangle = 0, \tag{33}$$

where the last term comes from the measure of the fermion path integral and ∇_μ is the difference operator on the lattice. The axial vector current $J^k_{5,\mu}(n)$ is given by

$$J^k_{5,\mu}(n) = \sum_{lm} \bar\psi(l)\Big[c\tau^k + \frac{a}{2\sqrt{N}}(\varphi^i(n) + \varphi^i_5(n)\gamma_5 + \sqrt{NL}M_s\delta_{i0})\tau^i\tau^k\Big]K^5_{n\mu}(l,m)\psi_m. \tag{34}$$

The terms proportional to the boson fields and M_s in Eq.(34) come from the interaction terms of the fermions and bosons in the action (10) and explicit form of $K^5_{n\mu}(l,m)$ was obtained by Kikukawa and Yamada[14] as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} K^5_{n\mu}(l,m) &= \left\{K_{n\mu}\frac{H}{\sqrt{H^2}}\right\}(l,m), & (35)\\ H &= -\gamma_5 X, & (36)\end{aligned}$$

$$aK_{n\mu}(l,m) = \gamma_5\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dt}{\pi}\frac{1}{(t^2+H^2)}\left(t^2 W_{n\mu} - HW_{n\mu}H\right)\frac{1}{(t^2+H^2)}\right\}_{lm}, \tag{37}$$

$$W_{n\mu}(l,m) = \gamma_5\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_\mu - 1)\,\delta_{nl}\delta_{n+\hat\mu,m}\,U_{n\mu} + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_\mu+1)\,\delta_{l,n+\hat\mu}\delta_{nm}\,U^\dagger_{n+\hat\mu,\mu}\right\}. \tag{38}$$

From (22) and (26), we have

$$\frac{1}{g_v} = \frac{M}{M_B}\,\epsilon. \tag{39}$$

Then the WT identity (33) gives the following PCAC relation in the continuum limit

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu J^k_{5,\mu} &= i\delta^{k0}\frac{\sqrt{NL}}{\pi}\sum_{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\lambda_\mu + 2M\epsilon\sqrt{N}\varphi^k_5\\ &= i\delta^{k0}\frac{\sqrt{NL}}{\pi}\sum_{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\lambda_\mu + \sqrt{\frac{2N}{\pi}}m_\pi^2 \times \frac{\varphi^k_5}{\sqrt{2\pi M^2}}. \qquad (40)\end{aligned}$$

In Eq.(40), the anomaly term comes from the last term in Eq.(33). Then it is obvious that the desired PCAC relation (besides the anomaly term which we obtained in the continuum limit [7]) is obtained rather straightforwardly from (33) and (39) at *finite lattice spacing.*

---

[7] It is possible to obtain the anomaly term at finite lattice spacing [15], but in this paper we calculated this term in the continuum limit.

Let us briefly discuss relationship between the U(1) problem and the chiral anomaly. As we saw, the U(1) problem is solved by the mixing term in the effective action (28). It is obvious that in S_{eff} there exist two terms which (explicitly) break the extended chiral symmetry, i.e., the mass term of φ_5^i and the mixing term. According to the nonvanishing VEV $\langle \phi_0 \rangle = \sqrt{NL} M_s$ (Eq.(8)), φ_5^i transform as

$$\varphi_5^i \to \varphi_5^i - \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \theta^i \langle \phi_0 \rangle + \cdots \tag{41}$$

under the extended chiral transformation. Then it is obvious that the above two terms in the effective action generate terms in the WT identity in the level of the effective action which correspond to the two terms on the RHS of Eq.(40)[16, 17].

In this paper we studied the overlap fermion by using the two dimensional gauged Gross-Neveu model in the large N limit and showed that the pion mass is proportional to the bare fermion mass and that the PCAC relation is satisfied in the desired form even at finite lattice spacing. Relationship between the anomaly term in the PCAC relation and the U(1) problem is also discussed. We expect that the extended chiral symmetry works properly as a genuine continuous symmetry and that the nonlocality of the transformation and the action does not generate any serious issues on the physical results at least in the perturbative expansion of the gauge field. In order to examine this expectation, studies of the higher-order terms of $1/N$ is useful. In this paper we also identified the order parameter for the extended chiral symmetry and the pion field. This is useful for studies of QCD with overlap fermions which is invariant under the extended chiral symmetry[18].

Acknowledgments

KN would like to thank Prof.Y.Kikukawa for useful discussions about the interaction terms which are invariant under the extended chiral symmetry, and also would like to thank Prof.T.Yoneya for helpful comments. Furthermore II and KN would like to thank Prof.K.Kawarabayashi for useful discussions about U(1) problem.

References

[1] R.Narayanan and H.Neuberger, Nucl.Phys. B412(1994)574;
Nucl.Phys.B443(1995)305.

[2] P.H. Ginsparg and K.G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D25(1982) 2649.

[3] Chandrasekharan, Phys.Rev.D60(1999)074503.

[4] T.W.Chiu, hep-lat/9810052;
S.Chandrasekharan, Phys.Rev.D59(1999)094502;
W.Bietenholz and I.Hip, hep-lat/9902019.

[5] I.Ichinose and K.Nagao, Phys.Lett.B460(1999)164, hep-lat/9905001.

[6] P.Vranas, I.Tziligakis and J.Kogut, hep-lat/9905018;
T.Izubuchi and K.Nagai, Phys.Rev.D61(2000)094501, hep-lat/9906017.

[7] Y.Kikukawa, private communication with K.Nagao.

[8] M. Lüscher, Phys.Lett.B428(1998)342.

[9] T.-W. Chiu, C.-W.Wang and S.V.Zenkin, Phys.Lett.B438(1998)321.

[10] P.Hernández, K.Jansen and M.Lüscher, Nucl.Phys.B552(1999)363.

[11] H.Neuberger, Phys.Lett.B417(1998)141; hep-lat/9801031;
Phys.Rev.D57(1998)5417.

[12] Y.Kikukawa and A.Yamada Phys.Lett.B448(1999)265.

[13] P.Hasenfratz, Nucl.Phys.B525(1998)401.

[14] Y.Kikukawa and A.Yamada Nucl.Phys.B547(1999)413.

[15] M.Lüscher, Nucl.Phys.B538(1999)515.

[16] K.Kawarabayashi and N.Ohta, Nucl.Phys.B175(1980)477.

[17] K.Kawarabayashi, private communication

[18] I.Ichinose and K.Nagao, hep-lat/9910031(to be appeared in Nucl.Phys.B); hep-lat/0001030.